

Dear Prof Mein'hof,

Thank you very much for your letter announcing your return and readiness to read my ms.

I am posting it to you to-day.

There are several points on which I want your opinion

- 1 Shall I leave out the grammatical terms n. (noun) v. (verb)? I have ^{omitted} left out most of the others, as I am not ^{being} sure of ^{them} ~~many~~. I ~~have~~ ^{go through} the ~~former sections of the~~ ^{old} dictionary to index them for the English-Biustiman part, these terms ^{and} ~~which~~ ^{think} these might ~~be~~ ^{be} added.
- 2 Shall I leave out the cross references s. ^(see) so-and-so? or should I put c. ^{instead} compare
- 3 Shall I leave out the word ex. (example)? It means extra expense in printing.
- 4 I have used the hyphen to show that two vowels are not a diphthong but pronounced separately. I have also used it to separate endings showing gender from the roots. Now I think I had better not use it in the latter case. I should omit the hyphens before the endings showing gender to avoid confusion. What do you think? I also use ^{a tiny hyphen} it for ⁱⁿ double composite words.

BC151 C16.30

re publication of

"Bushman grammar ;

a grammatical sketch

of the language of

the /xam-ka-!k'e

h 'Zeitschrift für

Eingeborenen-sprachen'

1929-30

The alterations you suggested in your
former letter I will make, using x for
X, & II for my father's early x, & putting in
the capitals like used in his works on
Shana.

Please tell me ~~at or~~ if there are
any other alterations or corrections
I should make. I know there must

be many mistakes in the work.
In the meanwhile I am getting on with the
English-Bushman part.
I am so glad you are feeling better
for your change, which you must
have needed.

With my kind regards and grateful
thanks

Yours sincerely